Case 3:13-cv-04910-JD Document 481 Filed 01/28/15 Page 1 of 2

1	COOLEY LLP THOMAS J. FRIEL, JR. (SBN 80065)		
2	(tfriel@cooley.com) 101 California Street		
3	5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-5800		
4	Telephone: (415) 693-2000 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222		
5	,		
6	SARAH J. GUSKE (SBN 232467) (sguske@cooley.com)		
7	WAYNE O. STACY (pro hac vice) (wstacy@cooley.com)		
8	380 Interlocken Crescent, Suite 900		
9	Telephone: (720) 566-4000		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff OPEN TEXT S.A.		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14	OPEN TEXT S.A.,	Case No. C 13-04910 JD	
15	Plaintiff,		
16	v.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SEEK RECONSIDERATION OF	
17	BOX, INC. and CARAHSOFT	THE COURT'S ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE	
18	TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,	NO. 1	
19	Defendants.		
20	Pursuant to Local Rule 7-9(b)(3), Open Text moves for leave to move for reconsideration		
21	of the Court's order denying as moot Open Text's Motion in Limine No. 1. (Dkt. No. 469 at 3.)		
22	Open Text was diligent in bringing this Motion—seeking leave just two days after the Court's		
23	Order. (Civil L.R. 7-9.)		
24	In its January 26, 2015 "Order re Motions in Limine" (the "Order") the Court denied as		
25	moot Open Text's Motion in Limine No. 1, which relates to the testimony of Messrs. Knotts and		
26	Ghods and Defendants' experts' reliance on the testimony. (Dkt. No. 469 at 3.) While the		
27	Motion is moot with respect to the testimony of Mr. Knotts in light of Docket No. 441, the		
28	Motion with respect to Mr. Ghods is not mooted by Docket No. 454 as indicated in the Order.		
w	Case No. C 13-04910 JD	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE	

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Case 3:13-cv-04910-JD Document 481 Filed 01/28/15 Page 2 of 2

1	1 Mr. Ghods' opinions and testimony that Open	Text seeks to preclude relate not only to the
2	2 Groupware patents, but also to the File Sync patents.	Defendants' damages expert Dr. Leonard
3	relies on Mr. Ghods' non-infringing alternatives opinion relating to the File Sync patents. (See	
4	Dkt. No. 311 at 11-12.) Defendants have also indicated that they intend to elicit testimony from	
5	Mr. Ghods at trial. (Dkt. No. 391 at 5.) The same issue presented in Open Text's Motion in	
6	Limine No. 1 is currently before the Court in Open Text's pending motions to exclude Ghods and	
7	Leonard. (Dkt. No. 311 at 11-12; Dkt. No. 299.)	
8	Accordingly, Open Text respectfully requests leave to seek reconsideration of the Orde	
9	on Open Text's Motion in Limine No. 1 as it pertains to Mr. Ghods. (Civil L.R. 7-9.)	
10	10	
11	Dated: January 28, 2015 COOLE	Y LLP
12	12	
13	B/ Sara	h Guske J. Friel, Jr. (SBN 80065)
14		Guske (SBN 232467)
15	wayne	O. Stacy (pro hac vice) Eutermoser (pro hac vice)
16	Britton I	F. Davis (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Bradford (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
17	Angela I	L. Campbell (pro hac vice)
18	18 380 Inte	rlocken Crescent, Suite 900 eld, CO 80021-8032
	Telepho Facsimil	ne: (720) 566-4000 le: (720) 566-4099
20	wstacy@sguske@	©cooley.com
21	beuterme bdavis@	oser@cooley.com
22	sbradfor acampbe	d@cooley.com ell@cooley.com
23	23 Attorney	es for Plaintiff Open Text S.A.
24	24	
25		
26		
27	1125205101	
28	28	

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

Case No. C 13-04910 JD